DOI: 10.30994/jqph.v5i1.257

Social Support and Client Satisfacation with Visual Inspection Acetate Acid (VIA)

Reca Dwi Putri Suswanti¹, Gatut Hardianto², Samsriyaningsih Handayani³

 Study of Midwife, Faculty of Medicine, University Airlangga
Departement of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University Airlangga
Departement of Public Health Sciences – Preventive Medicine, University Airlangga

Email:

reca.dputri@gmail.com

Received: October 4nd 2021

Accepted: October 15rd 2021

Published: November 27th 2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study was conducted to show that early prevention and treatment of cervical cancer can save on treatment costs. Cervical cancer is one of the biggest threats to women's health. This is related to the low awareness of women who have had active sexual relations in carrying out early detection of cervical cancer so that it can increase the incidence of cervical cancer. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between social support and client satisfaction on IVA service satisfaction by public health center officers. Methods: This study used an observational analytic study with a retrospective cohort design. The sample size used was 70 respondents with the same distribution in each control group and the exposed group, each using 35 respondents. The sampling technique used consecutive sampling. Univariate analysis using Chi-Square test. Bivariate analysis using Spearman correlation test. Results: The results of Univariate analysis in the control group and the exposed group showed that age (p= 0.4), education (p= 0.136), occupation (p= 0.622), length of marriage (p= 0.073), number of sexual partners (p= 0.073). = 0.602) and the number of children (p = 0.151). The results of bivariate analysis showed that husband's support (p= 0.735), mother's support (p= 0.984), health cadre support (p= (0.320) and peer support (p= (0.725)). There is no relationship/correlation between social support and service satisfaction. Conclusion: There are other factors that can affect the satisfaction of women of childbearing age with early detection of cervical cancer (VIA) apart from social support.

Keywords: Visual Inspection Acetic Acid (VIA), satisfaction, social Support

Copyright © 2021 IIK STRADA Indonesia All right reserved.



This is an open-acces article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Visual Inspection Acetic Acid (VIA) is an examination conducted by medical personnel on the cervix who have been given acetic acid / vinegar acid 3-5% inspecilo with the naked eye to detect early precancerous lesions (Depkes, 2014). Low awareness of women who are married / having sexual intercourse in early detection of cervical cancer will increase the incidence of cervical cancer in Indonesia. (Depkes RI, 2015). Data on the Early Detection of Cervical Cancer (VIA) from the Indonesian Ministry of Health in 2018 showed that since 2014 the VIA examination has not met the

target, of the 6,012,729 women of childbearing age in East Java Province, only 148,875 conducted IVA in 2014, 59,332 in 2015, 149,419 in 2016, 229,084 in 2017, 25,293 in 2018 and total examinations up to 2018 amounted to 511,128 (8.50%).

In East Java, women were examined by VIA as many 192,169 women (3.07%) and positive VIA as many 9,494 women (4.94%). The number of women aged 30-50 years as many as 178,946 (Depkes Jatim, 2017). According to Kotler (2009) and Hartono (2010) explained that the interest in re-visits is part of the client's after-service behavior, after using the service, the client will get a feeling of satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the service will affect the behavior of the client next. If the client is satisfied, it will show a higher possibility to make a repeat visit. Each year, more than 300,000 women die from cervical cancer. Cervical cancer is one of the biggest threats to women's health. Prevention and early treatment of cervical cancer can save on medical costs (WHO, 2018).

Government programs related to cervical cancer early detection examination (VIA) have been regulated in The Minister of Health Regulation Number 34 since 2015 as an effort to prevent non-communicable diseases carried out nationally. Because satisfaction with VIA services is important, it is necessary to know the causative factors that affect satisfaction. One of the factors that can affect satisfaction is the ability of VIA early detection service officers in delivering examination results that are less building client trust can reduce client satisfaction. Public Health Center X and Public Health Center Y.

Based on the Health Profile in 2017 explained that the working area of Public Health Center X of 3,855 WUS, which conducted VIA examinations as many as 61 people (1.58%) and who showed positive VIA results of 2 people (3.28%), while for the working area of Public Health Center Y of 4,670 WUS, which conducted VIA examinations as many as 105 people (2.25%) and who showed positive VIA results of 0 people (0.00%). The results of the researchers' observations showed that VIA services were not carried out routinely at the Public Health Center. According to Ademuyiwa (2020) explained that social support is a determinant of satisfaction with antenatal care services.

According to Thomas (2018) said that there needs to be a strong relationship between peers and service satisfaction. Therefore, more in-depth research is needed to find out the relationship of social support with satisfaction with IVA early detection services. The purpose of this study was to find out the relationship of social support with client satisfaction with VIA services by health center officers. The study hypothesis "has a relationship between social support and client satisfaction with Visual Inspection of Acetic Acid (VIA) in Public Health Center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study used observational analytical study methods with a restrospective cohort approach. The population in this study is Women of Childbearing Age (WUS) who have done early detection of VIA in Public Health Center X and Public Health Center Y. The number of samples used in the study was 35 respondents in the control group and 35 respondents in the exposed group. So, the total control group and exposed group were 70 respondents. The sampling techniques conducted in this study used consecutive sampling. The independent variable in the study was social support. The dependent variable in the study was client satisfaction with VIA services.

RESULTS

A. General Data

In this general data will be outlined about the characteristics of respondents including age, education, work, length of marriage, number of sexual partners, and number of children.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Respondent Demographics

Characteris						Exposure Group				
tic	Minimum	Maximum	Mea n	Std. Deviation	Modus	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus
Age	27	57	37,1 7	7,755	30,32	29	58	44,11	7,307	40
Length of Marriage	9	35	17,1 1	7,526	12	9	41	23,49	8,194	33

Table 2. Distribution of Cervical Cancer Risk Factors Respondents

	Control Group					Exposure Group					
Characteristics	Minimu m	Maximu m	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus	Minimu m	Maximu m	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus	
Amount of Sexual Couple	1	3	1,09	0,373	1	1	2	1,03	0,169	1	
Amount of Chlidren	1	3	1,91	0,702	2	1	6	2,20	0,901	2	

Table 3. Distribution of characteristics of respondents in the area of Public Health Center X and

	37 ' 11		C	ontrol	Ex	posure	A	mount	,
No	Variable	Group	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	p value
1		≤ Age 30	8	22.86%	2	5.71%	10	14.29%	
1	Age	> Age 30	27	77.14%	33	94.29%	60	85.71%	0,4
		Total					70	100%	
		Primary School	2	5.72%	9	25.71%	11	15.71%	
		Junior High School	16	45.71%	14	40%	30	42.86%	
2	Education	Senior High School	15	42.86%	11	31.43%	26	37.14%	0,136
		University	2	5.71%	1	2.86%	3	4.29%	0,130
		Total					70	100%	
	-	Housewife	28	80%	26	74.29%	54	77.14%	
2	Job	Employee	1	2.86%	1	2.86%	2	2.86%	
3	JOD	Private	5	14.29%	8	22.86%	13	18.57%	0,622
		Civil Servant	1	2.86%	0	0%	1	1.43%	
		Total					70	100%	
4	Length of	≤ Age 25	31	88.57%	25	71.43%	56	80%	
4	Marriage	> Age 25	4	11.43%	10	28.57%	14	20%	0,073
		Total					70	100%	
		1	33	94.28%	34	97.14%	67	95.71%	
5	Amount of Sexual Couple	2	1	2.86%	1	2.86%	2	2.86%	0.603
	Sexual Couple	3	1	2.86%	0	0%	1	1.43%	0,602
		Total					70	100%	
	Amount of	≤3	35	100%	33	94.29%	68	97.14%	
6 Children		> 3	0	0%	2	5.71%	2	2.86%	0,151
		Total					70	100%	

B. Special dataSocial Support

Table 3. Social Support Variable Frequency Distribution

Tubic	Tuble 3. Social Support Variable Trequency Distribution							
Variable	Category	Frequency	Proportional					
Dukungan Sosial	Get Social Support	35	50%					
Bakangan bosiar	Not Getting Social Support	35	50%					

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics Of Social Support Variables

		Co)	Exposure Group						
Sosial Support	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus
Husband Support	13	18	15,743	1,039	16	10	16	13,714	1,582	14
Mom Support	13	20	15,857	1,574	16	4	16	9,714	4,625	12
Health Cadre Support	12	15	12,800	1,256	12	9	12	11,629	0,942	12
Peers Support	12	15	12,543	1,067	12	9	14	11,400	1,265	12

Table 5. Distribution of Respondent Answers

Varia	Varia Control Group Exposure Group											
ble	Indicator	Answer	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Modus	Minimum	Maksimum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Modus
		P1	40,000	50,000	44,286	0,5021	4	30,000	50,000	39,429	0,5913	4
	Husband	P2	30,000	50,000	36,000	0,5531	4	20,000	40,000	32,286	0,4902	3
	Support	Р3	30,000	40,000	34,286	0,5021	3	20,000	40,000	30,286	0,5137	3
		P4	30,000	50,000	42,857	0,5725	4	30,000	40,000	35,143	0,5071	4
·-		P5	3,000	5,000	4,429	0,608	5	1,000	5,000	2,571	1,313	1
	Mom	P6	3,000	5,000	3,686	0,631	4	1,000	4,000	2,314	1,105	3
Social	Support	P7	3,000	5,000	3,514	0,658	3	1,000	4,000	2,314	1,078	3
Supp ort		P8	3,000	5,000	4,229	0,646	4	1,000	5,000	2,514	1,269	3
7	Health	P9	40,000	50,000	43,429	0,4816	4	30,000	40,000	38,857	0,3228	4
	Cadre	P10	40,000	50,000	42,286	0,4260	4	30,000	40,000	38,571	0,3550	4
	Support	P11	40,000	50,000	42,286	0,4260	4	30,000	50,000	38,857	0,4038	4
·-		P12	40,000	50,000	42,571	0,4434	4	30,000	50,000	38,286	0,4528	4
	Peers Support	P13	40,000	50,000	41,429	0,3550	4	30,000	50,000	38,000	0,4728	4
	Support	P14	40,000	50,000	41,429	0,3550	4	30,000	50,000	37,714	0,5470	4

Based on the findings in the field, there is a diversity of very high birth mother support scores this is due to the birth mother of some respondents has died and does not live with the birth mother so that some respondents do not get support from a mother.

ervice Satisfaction

2.

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics of Service Satisfaction Variables

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Modus
Service Satisfaction	70	49	79	64	3,445	64

S

Table 7. Frequency Distribution of Service Satisfaction Variables

Variable	Category	Frequency	Proportional
Service Satisfaction	Satisfied	46	66%
Service Saustaction	Not Satisfied	24	34%

Table 8. Distribution of Respondent Answers

				C	ontrol Group			Exposure Group					
Variable	Dimention	Answer	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Modus	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard Deviation	Modus	
		P1	30,000	50,000	41,143	0,4038	4	30,000	50,000	40,57 1	0,4816	4	
	Tangibility	Fangibility P2	30,000	50,000	40,857	0,3735	4	30,000	50,000	40,85 7	0,4453	4	
		Р3	40,000	50,000	40,857	0,2840	4	30,000	50,000	41,14 3	0,4038	4	
		P4	30,000	40,000	36,000	0,4971	4	3,000	5,000	3,771	0,598	4	
		P5	40,000	50,000	40,857	0,2840	4	30,000	50,000	40,57 1	0,4161	4	
		P6	40,000	50,000	41,143	0,3228	4	2,000	5,000	3,914	0,612	4	
			P7	40,000	50,000	40,571	0,2355	4	20,000	50,000	39,71 4	0,5681	4
Sevice		P8	40,000	50,000	40,571	0,2355	4	30,000	50,000	40,28 6	0,4528	4	
Satisfied	Responsive ness	P9	40,000	50,000	40,286	0,1690	4	30,000	50,000	40,00 0	0,3430	4	
	4	P10	30,000	40,000	39,143	0,2840	4	30,000	50,000	39,71 4	0,2956	4	
	Assurance	P11	20,000	40,000	39,143	0,3735	4	30,000	50,000	40,28 6	0,2956	4	
		P12	20,000	50,000	39,429	0,4161	4	30,000	50,000	40,00 0	0,3430	4	
	e d	P13	30,000	50,000	40,000	0,2425	4	30,000	50,000	39,42 9	0,3381	4	
	Empathy	P14	30,000	50,000	40,000	0,2425	4	40,000	50,000	40,57 1	0,2355	4	
		P15	30,000	50,000	40,286	0,2956	4	30,000	50,000	39,71 4	0,3824	4	
		P16	40,000	50,000	41,714	0,3824	4	30,000	50,000	40,57	0,3381	4	

Based on the results of findings in the field found that most respondents are satisfied with the skills of officers when providing services, this is because of the first experience for respondents in conducting VIA examinations so that respondents cannot compare with other VIA examination services.

The relationship between social support and client satisfaction with Visual Inspection Acetic Acid (VIA) in Public Health Center X and Y.

Table 9. Results of Cross Tabulation of Social Support Variables and Service Satisfaction

	Get Social Support	Percentage	Not Getting Social Support	Percentage	Amount	p-value	OR
Satisfied	22	63%	24	69%	46		
Not Satisfied	13	37%	11	31%	24	0,615	1,289
Amount	35	100%	35	100%	70		

Based on the results of statistical tests using the chi-square test obtained a p value of 0.615 (p > 0.05) so that Ho was accepted, which means there is no meaningful relationship between social support for service satisfaction to visual inspection of acetic acid (VIA) at Public Health Center $\,X$ and $\,Y$.

Table 10. Spearmen Correlation Analysis

Variable	Social Support	
Camaiaa Catiafaatiaa	Spearman's rho	0,041
Service Satisfaction	n-value	0.738

Based on the results of the Spearmen correlation test obtained a correlation value of Spearman's rho of 0.041 or it can be said that the correlation between the two variables is very small. The opportunity value (0.738) > real level (0.05) so that it can be decided to accept H_0 . So it can be concluded that there is no relationship / correlation between social support and service satisfaction.

Tabel 11. Bivariate Analysis of The Dimensions of Social Support and Service Satisfaction

Variable	Service Satisfaction	
II11 C	Spearman's rho	0,041
Husband Support	p-value	0,735
Mana Cananant	Spearman's rho	0,002
Mom Support	p-value	0,984
Harlish Cadar Samurat	Spearman's rho	0,121
Health Cadre Support	p-value	0,320
De con Como est	Spearman's rho	0,043
Peers Support	p-value	0.725

Based on the results of the Spearmen correlation test obtained spearman's rho correlation values for all four indicators < 0.5. The odds value of the four indicators is greater than the real level (0.05) so it can be decided to accept H_0 . So it can be concluded that there is no correlation between the four dimensions of social support and service satisfaction.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the study conducted stated that most respondents were satisfied with the support provided by husbands, health cadres and peers. Social support is a form of attention and protection given to a person or individual by a group or individual who has a relationship with positive things psychologically, emotionally and materially provided in the form of a relationship between humans and humans. According to Sundari (2018) explained that respondents who get good support from their husbands will conduct an VIA examination. Diversity of respondents' responses to maternal support may be a differentiating factor to satisfaction levels in both study groups. Rahma (2012) stated that the majority of WUS (Women of Childbearing Age) in Pangebatan Village of Karanglawas District of Banyumas Regency received moderate support from the family which was 39 respondents (39%). According to Muryati (2018) stated that some respondents lacked support from health cadres, which was 59%, from the findings on the ground it was found that the majority of respondents get information and support directly to follow the VIA examination program at the Health Center. According to Angriani (2019) explained that out of 121 respondents stated that the respondents received less support from friends, which was 71.9%. This is in contrast to this study which states that the majority of respondents are satisfied with the peer support received because respondents get a direct invitation from peers to conduct VIA examinations together. Based on the results of the assessment of service satisfaction, it can be known that most respondents are satisfied with the five dimensions of service to the satisfaction of services received in both research groups. Client satisfaction is a form of client assessment of the services received by comparing the services received with those expected. Measurement of service satisfaction can use five dimensions of service consisting of tangibility, reability, responsiveness, ansurance.

According to Dewi (2017) explained that most respondents were dissatisfied with the antenatal service received, which was 64 people (66.67%). The highest satisfaction dimension is the reability aspect whereas the lowest dimension is the responsiveness aspect. According to Sari (2010) stated that overall respondents were quite satisfied with the services provided. It is likely that this is what causes no difference in the dimensions of service satisfaction in these two research groups. In the results of the analysis test that has been conducted, stated that there is no relationship / correlation between the four indicators of social support and service satisfaction. Client satisfaction with the service received can be judged by how far the officer acts in accordance with the client's expectations, and the service officer must be able to pay attention to each attribute of client expectations consisting of the ratio of value / price, quality, features, guarantee or warranty, how to solve problems and client experience. Booster factors in social support can be measured by indicators of husband support, family support (birth mother), health cadre support and peer support.

According to Husni (2015) stated that family support has a significant relationship with the quality of life of breast cancer patients, low family support will affect the quality of life of patients. Based on the results of this study stated that maternal support has a diverse score that this happens because some of the respondent's mothers have died and do not live with the birth mother which makes the mother not know if the respondent did an VIA examination so that the support received by respondents is not good. According to Azizah (2016) explained that social support has a shared influence on the quality of life

of cervical cancer patients but does not have a strong enough influence or low effect on the quality of life of cervical cancer patients. The results of this study showed that there was no difference in the satisfaction of the control group and exposure.

According to Oktarina (2009) stated that the characteristics of rural communities with different cities, most rural communities still have a low education and become housewives, while urban communities tend to have higher education so they have good knowledge compared to rural communities. Action Theory states that elderly respondents will tend to be more satisfied with the health services they receive, because they are influenced by medical personnel more responsive (reliability) to elderly respondents. According to Pratama (2014) explained that education has an influence on satisfaction, where patients with a higher level of education will have high expectations of a service received, compared to patients with low education levels who will appreciate all forms of services that have been received. Based on the results of existing research and theories, researchers assume that there are other factors that can affect the satisfaction of VIA services in addition to social support factors, including education with a p value of 0.136, age with a p value of 0.4, the residence of respondents who are in rural areas, and so on. Thus the social support factor of husband support, maternal support, health cadre support and peer support does not have a strong enough influence on VIA service satisfaction. In addition, one of the signs of a client satisfied with health services can be determined by a repeat visit. But a repeat visit isn't the only determining factor in satisfaction.

According to Prakash (2010) explained that satisfaction is an important indicator that can be used to measure the quality of health services. Assessment of the quality of health services can be influenced by the attitude of the officer, able to build good relationships with clients, the appearance of the health care place, the waiting time for health services, client education, and how to solve client problems. Clients who are not satisfied with the re-visit, can be affected because the local health center is the only place of free VIA service according to the work area. If the client wants to do a free IVA check elsewhere it cannot because the program is only available in the local area. And if the client is able to spend personal costs to conduct VIA examinations then the client can perform in various health services. Thus, satisfied or dissatisfied clients to the client's health services will still make repeat visits at the same health center.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion, it can be concluded that there are other factors that affect WUS satisfaction with Visual Inspection Acetic Acid (VIA) services apart from social support.

REFERENCES

Ademuyiwa, I. (2020). Social Support and Satisfication With Antenatal Care Services Among, Pregnant in Lagos State, Nigeria. *African of Journal Midwifery and Women Health*, Vol 14, No. 1.

Anggriani, S. (2019). Faktor Determinan Patisipasi Perempuan Beresiko dalam Pemeriksaan Visual Asam Asetat (IVA). *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat*, Vol 7, No. 1.

Azizah, A. (2016). Hubungan Antara Dukungan Sosial dan Resiliensi Dengan Kualitas Hidup Pasien Kanker Serviks Di Rumah Sakit Dr. Soebandi.

Dewi, E. (2017). Hubungan Antara Ibu Hamil Terhadap Pelayanan Antenatal Dengan Kepuasan Kunjungan Antenatal Di Kota Surabaya.

Dinas Kesehatan Kabupaten Mojokerto. (2017). Profil Kesehatan Kabupaten Mojokerto 2017. Mojokerto, Jawa Timur, Indonesia: Pemerintah Kabupaten Mojokerto.

Dinas Kesehatan Jawa Timur. (2018). Profil Kesehatan Jawa Timur 2018. Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia: Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Jawa Timur.

Fandy, dkk. (2015). Pelanggan Puas? Tak Cukup. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.

Farida, dkk. (2015). *Perception and satisfication of cervical cancer screening by Visual Inspection With Acetic Acid (VIA)*. Meknes-Tafilalet Region, Marocco: BMC Women Health.

Izza, A. (2019). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Layanan Deteksi Dini IVA Pada WUS Di Puskesmas Kota Surabaya. *Skripsi*. Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia: Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Airlangga.

Muryanti, D. (2018). Hubungan Faktor Penguat Dengan Sikap WUS Dalam Mendektesi Dini Kanker Serviks Metode IVA (Inspeksi Visual Acetat). *Jurnal Skripsi*. Mojokerto, Jawa Timur, Indonesia: Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Majapahit.

Putri, G. (2017). Hubungan Dukungan Suami, Self Efficacy Dengan Kepuasaan Penggunaan IUD Di Puskesmas Mojo. Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia: Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Airlangga.

Sondang, dkk. (2018). Dukungan Suami Terhadap Perilaku Wus (10-50 Tahun) dalam Melakukan Pemeriksaan IVA Di Wilayah Kerja Bondongan Tahun 2018. *Jurnal Gaster*.

Sundari. (2018). Pengetahuan dan Dukungan Sosial Mempengaruhi Perilaku Deteksi Dini Kanker Serviks Metode IVA . *Indonesia Journal of Midwifery*.

Thomas, E.C. (2018). Assosiations Between the Peer Support Relationship, Service Satisfication and Recovery Oriented Outcomes: a Correctional Study. National Library of Medicine (NIH).

Tjicipto, dkk. (2016). Pemasaran, Efiensi dan Aplikasi. Yogyakarta: Andi.

Umami, D. (2019). Hubungan Dukungan Suami Istri dan Dukungan Petugas Kesehatan Tehadap Perilaku Pemeriksaan IVA Di Puskesemas Padang Serai. *Jurnal*. Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Dehasen Bengkulu.

Widyanti. (2018). Hubungan Dukungan Suami dengan Keikutsertaan Pemeriksaan Inspeksi Visual Asam Asetat (IVA) Pada PUS Di Puskesmas Kotagede 2 Kota Yogyakarta. *Jurnal*.

Wigati, A. d. (2017). Peran Dukungan Keluarga Dalam Pengambilan Keputusan Deteksi Dini Kanker Serviks . *Indonesia Jurnal Kebidanan* , 12-15.

Wijaya, dkk. (2018). Manajemen Kualitas Jasa. Jakarta: Indeks.

World Health Organization. (2018). Cervical Cancer.

Wulandari, N. (2015). Hubungan Layanan Keperawatan Dengan Tingkat Kepuasan Pasien Rawat Inap Di Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah (RSUD) Ungaran Kabupaten Semarang. *Skripsi*. Universitas Negeri Semarang.